Antibody-targeted chemotherapy with CMC-544: a CD22-targeted immunoconjugate of calicheamicin for the treatment of B-lymphoid malignancies


Antibody-targeted chemotherapy with gemtuzumab ozogamicin (CMA-676, a CD33-targeted immunoconjugate of N-acetyl-γ-calicheamicin dimethyl hydrazide [CalichDMH], a potent DNA-binding cytotoxic antitumor antibiotic) is a clinically validated therapeutic option for patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Here, we describe the preclinical profile of another immunoconjugate of CalichDMH, CMC-544, targeted to CD22 expressed by B-lymphoid malignancies. CMC-544 comprises a humanized IgG4 anti-CD22 monoclonal antibody (mAb), G5/44, covalently linked to CalichDMH via an acid-labile 4-((4’-acetylphenoxy)butanoic acid (AcBut) linker. Both CMC-544 and unconjugated G5/44 bound human CD22 with subnanomolar affinity. CMC-544, but not unconjugated G5/44, exerted potent cytotoxicity against CD22+ B-cell lymphoma (BCL) cell lines (inhibitory concentration of 50%: 6-600 pM CalichDMH). CMC-544 caused a potent inhibition of growth of small but established BCL xenografts leading to cures (therapeutic index > 10). CMC-544 prevented the establishment of BCL xenografts and also caused regression of large BCLs (> 1.5 g tumor mass). In contrast, unconjugated CalichDMH, unconjugated G5/44, and an isotype-matched control conjugate, CMA-676, were ineffective against these BCL xenografts. Thus, CD22-targeted delivery of CalichDMH is a potent and effective preclinical therapeutic strategy for BCLs. The strong antitumor profile of CMC-544 supports its clinical evaluation as a treatment option for B-lymphoid malignancies.
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Introduction

Antibody-targeted chemotherapy is a strategy that involves the use of a cytotoxic agent chemically linked to a monoclonal antibody (mAb) that specifically recognizes a tumor-associated antigen. The mAb specifically delivers the cytotoxic agent to tumor cells that express the tumor-associated antigen. The efficacy of antibody-targeted chemotherapy relies heavily on the specific binding of the targeting mAb-drug conjugate to the tumor antigen and on the internalization of the antigen-mAb complex to ensure focused delivery of the conjugated cytotoxic agent inside tumor cells. Such focused delivery of the cytotoxic agent to tumor cells maximizes its antitumor effect and minimizes its normal tissue exposure, resulting in an improved therapeutic index. The concept of antibody-targeted chemotherapy is not novel and has been explored extensively with significant preclinical success.1,2 However, it had not been successfully translated into clinical benefit until the recent introduction of gemtuzumab ozogamicin (Mylotarg or CMA-676; Wyeth, Philadelphia, PA), the first antibody-targeted chemotherapeutic agent approved for clinical use in the United States.3

Gemtuzumab ozogamicin is comprised of a humanized IgG4 anti-CD33 antibody covalently linked to N-acetyl-γ-calicheamicin dimethyl hydrazide (hereafter referred to as CalichDMH), a derivative of γ-calicheamicin, via an acid-hydrolysable 4-(4’-acetylphenoxy)butanoic acid (AcBut) linker.5,6 γ-Calicheamicin is a potent cytotoxic antitumor antibiotic that binds DNA in the minor groove and undergoes thiol-dependent structural changes in its enzyme moiety to generate a di-radical, which abstracts hydrogens from the phosphodiester backbone of DNA. This causes double-strand DNA breaks resulting in apoptotic cell death.9,11 Gemtuzumab ozogamicin binds CD33 on the surface of myeloid cells and is rapidly internalized into lysosomal vesicles whose acidic pH facilitates hydrolysis of the hydrazide functional group within the AcBut linker liberating CalichDMH.5-8 Gemtuzumab ozogamicin is indicated for the treatment of elderly patients (≥ 60 years of age) with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in first relapse who are not candidates for other therapies.3,12 Its effectiveness in patients with AML can be attributed to the preferential delivery of CalichDMH to CD33+ myeloid leukemic cells resulting in their elimination.5,6 CD33, the molecular target of gemtuzumab ozogamicin, is a cell-surface antigen whose expression is confined to the myeloid lineage. CD33 is expressed by both normal and malignant myeloid cells but is not expressed by nonhematopoietic lineages or stem cell precursors of the myeloid lineage.13 The clinical effectiveness of gemtuzumab ozogamicin provides a strong rationale for applying the antibody-targeted calicheamicin strategy to other malignancies using target antigens with similar lineage-confined expression.

CD22 is an attractive target for antibody-targeted chemotherapy and has been extensively explored as a target for immunotoxin-based therapeutic strategies.14,16 CD22 is a terminal α2,6-linked
sialic acid-binding cellular lectin and a member of the Ig superfamily. 17 CD22 is expressed on the surface of mature B lymphocytes and their malignant counterparts but not on other non-B lineages including hematopoietic stem cells. 17-21 CD22 is rapidly internalized on binding to anti-CD22 mAb. 22,23 These properties make CD22 a suitable molecular target for antibody-targeted calicheamicin therapy for B-lymphoid malignancies. Using a humanized anti-CD22 mAb (G5/44), we have created a CD22-targeted immunoconjugate of CalichDMH, designated as CMC-544. The present study describes the functional characterization of CMC-544 and provides evidence of its strong preclinical antitumor activity against human B-cell lymphoma (BCL) cell lines, both in vitro and in vivo. This study supports the evaluation of CMC-544 as an effective therapeutic option for patients with BCLs, especially non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

Materials and methods

Antibodies

Humanized anti-CD22 (G5/44, IgG4 isotype) was derived from the murine anti-CD22 mAb 5s44 by grafting the complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) plus key framework residues on to human acceptor frameworks at Celltech (Slough, United Kingdom; J.F.D. et al, manuscript in preparation, January 2004). Antibody G5/44 was expressed in Chinese hamster ovary cells and purified at Wyeth Biopharma (Andover, MA). G5/44 was covalently linked to CalichDMH via an acid-labile AcBut linker as described and the resulting conjugate was designated as CMC-544. Figure 1 shows a structural representation of CMC-544, which has an average loading of 65 to 80 µg CalichDMH/mg antibody protein (5-7 moles CalichDMH/mol antibody) and less than 10% of the antibody protein in CMC-544 is unconjugated. This conjugate preparation contains less than 1 µg unconjugated CalichDMH/mg conjugated antibody, CMA-676 (genetuzumab ozogamicin) is a conjugate of humanized IgG4 antibody human CD33 mAb, hP67.6, covalently linked to CalichDMH via the AcBut linker. All conjugates were determined to be endotoxin free (<5 endotoxin units/mL [EU/mL]) by a modified limulus amebocyte assay (BioWittaker, Walkersville, MD). Rituximab (Rituxan; Genentech, South San Francisco, CA),25 a chimeric IgG1 anti-CD20 mAb, was obtained from Med World Pharmacy (Chestnut Ridge, NY). Purified human IgG4 and IgG1 were obtained from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO). A fusion protein consisting of the extracellular domain of human CD22 genetically fused to the hinge-CH2-CH3 Fc region of murine IgG1 (CD22mFc) was expressed in NS0 cells and purified at Celltech R&D. Fluorescein-conjugated affinity-purified polyclonal goat anti-human IgG (heavy and light chain specific) antibody was obtained from Zymed (South San Francisco, CA).

Cell lines

Burkitt lymphoma cell lines Ramos (CRL-1923), Raji (CCL-86), Daudi (CCL-213), a non-Hodgkin lymphoma cell line RL (CRL-2261), and a myeloid leukemic cell line HL-60 (CCL-240) were all obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA). The cell lines were determined to be mycoplasma free by a polymerase chain reaction mycoplasma detection assay (ATCC). The cell lines Ramos, Raji, Daudi, and RL were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 10 mM HEPES (N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N’-2-ethanesulfonic acid), 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.2% glucose, penicillin G sodium 100 U/mL, and streptomycin sulfate 100 µg/mL. HL-60 cells were propagated and maintained in Iscove Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) plus 20% FBS, 0.02% glucose, penicillin G sodium 100 U/mL, and streptomycin sulfate 100 µg/mL.

Mice

Female, athymic BALB/c nu/nu (nude) mice (18-23 g) were obtained from Charles River (Wilmington, MA). All mice were provided with food and water ad libitum throughout the studies. All experimental procedures involving mice were carried out in a laminar flow hood and were approved by the Wyeth Pearl River Animal Care and Use Committee according to the established guidelines.

Biosensor analysis

Biosensor analyses were carried out using a BIAcore 2000 (BIAcore, Uppsala, Sweden). CD22mFc was covalently immobilized on the N-hydroxysuccinimide-activated carboxymethyl dextran-coated biosensor chip (CM5) using a standard amine-coupling chemistry at a protein density of approximately 2000 resonance units. Samples of CMC-544 or G5/44 were diluted in the HBS buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, containing 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA [ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid], and 0.005% polysorbate 20 [vol/vol]) and injected in the concentration range of 1 to 100 nM over the CD22mFc-coated biosensor chip surface at a flow rate of 30 µL/min for 3 minutes to allow binding. After the binding phase, dissociation of the bound antibody was monitored by washing the chip with the HBS buffer over a 15-minute period. The antigenic surface was regenerated by washing the biosensor chip with 15 µL of the regeneration buffer (10 mM NaOH and 200 mM NaCl) for 30 seconds, followed by a stabilization time of 2 minutes before the next cycle. Kinetic constants were calculated by nonlinear least square regression analysis using a 1:1 Langmuir binding curve fitting model and BIAevaluation program (version 3.0, BIAcore).

Cytotoxicity assays

Various BCL cells were cultured in the presence of various concentrations of unconjugated CalichDMH, unconjugated antibody (G5/44), CMC-544, or CMA-676 for 96 hours after which cell viability was measured by propidium iodide exclusion and analyzed by flow cytometry using a Becton Dickinson FACSort (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Red fluorescent intensity (emission at 617 nm in the FL2 channel) of the cells excited at 488 nm was measured. The regions for viable cells were also set using both the forward light scatter and right-angle light scatter properties of the cells. The loss of viability was determined by the loss of cells from within the gated region defining viable cells. The average number of viable cells per 6 replicate cultures was calculated. The percent survival of BCL cells in these cultures was calculated using the following equation: percent survival = 100 × (no. of viable cells in treated cultures/no. of viable cells in control cultures). The inhibitory concentration of 50% (IC50 with 95% confidence interval [CI]) was calculated by logistic nonlinear regression and is reported as the amount of CalichDMH equivalents from each treatment group that causes 50% loss of cell viability.

Subcutaneous xenografts

Female, athymic nude mice were exposed to total body irradiation (4 Gy [400 rad]) to further suppress their residual immune system and facilitate the establishment of BCL xenografts. Three days later, the irradiated mice were injected subcutaneously with 1 × 107 Ramos or RL cells in Matrigel (Collaborative Biomedical Products, Belford, MA, diluted 1:1 in RPMI 1640 medium) in the dorsal, left flank. When the tumors reached the mass of 0.3 to 0.4 g, the tumors were staged to ensure uniformity of the tumor.
mass between various treatment groups (n = 7-9 mice/group) prior to the
administration of therapy. Conjugated CalichDMH (CMC-544 or CMA-
676), un conjugated CalichDMH, or unconjugated antibody (G5/44)/5(44)
was administered intraperitoneally in sterile saline (0.2 mL/mouse) on day 1
and the same treatment was repeated twice 4 days apart. Doses of CMC-544 and
CMA-676 were based on the quantity of CalichDMH. In additional studies,
the effect of CMC-544 or G5/44 on the development of the BCLs was also
examined. In these studies, treatment was administered 3 days after the
BCLs were injected subcutaneously. Tumors were measured at least once a
week and their mass was defined as tumor mass (g) = 0.5 x (tumor
width²)(tumor length). Mean tumor mass (± SEM) for each treatment
group was calculated and compared to the vehicle-treated group for
statistical significance using a one-sided t test, with the error term for the t
statistic based on the pooled variance across all treatment groups. Tumor mass
values for each treatment group were recorded up to 100 days after the
initiation of treatment or until either tumor-bearing mice died or the tumors
grew to 15% of the body weight at which time these mice were humanely
killed according to institutional regulations. The number of tumor-free mice
at the end of each study for each treatment group was also recorded. The
minimum efficacious dose (MED) of CMC-544 was the lowest dose of
CMC-544 that caused a statistically significant inhibition of tumor growth
(P < .05) at any given time point compared to the vehicle-treated group.

To determine the maximum nonlethal dose (MND) of CMC-544,
nonirradiated, non tumor-bearing mice (n = 10) were administered intraperi-
toneally increasing doses of CMC-544 as 3 doses, 1 every 4 days, and
monitored for 50 days. The MND was the highest dose of CMC-544 at
which 100% of the treated mice survived the observation period. The
therapeutic index (TI) was calculated as the ratio of the MND/MED. The
minimum curative dose (MCD) was the lowest dose of CMC-544 at which
no residual tumor mass could be detected and the mice remained tumor-free
for at least 100 days after initiation of the treatment. The curative index
was calculated as the ratio of MND/MCD. ED50 is the dose of CMC-544 (3
doses, 1 every 4 days) at which 90% inhibition of average tumor growth is
observed relative to the vehicle-treated average tumor growth (with 95%
CIs) and was estimated using inverse prediction after fitting a logistic model
to relative tumor growth data from 3 independent experiments using R.

Pharmacokinetics of CMC-544 in tumor-bearing and
nontumor-bearing mice

RL BCL-bearing nude mice (subcutaneous tumors) and nontumor-bearing
nude mice (n = 52/group) were given a single intraperitoneal dose of
CMC-544 (160 μg conjugated CalichDMH/kg) at time 0. Blood samples
were collected terminally by cardiac puncture under CO2 anesthesia from
these mice (n = 4 mice/time point) using a nonserial bleeding design at 0.1,
0.5, 1, 4, 8, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, 168, 240, and 336 hours after dosing. Serum
from these blood samples was collected and stored frozen at −70°C. Serum
concentrations of CMC-544 were quantified using a modification of an
enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) originally developed for the
pharmacokinetic measurements of CMA-676.27 A soluble form of the CD22
protein, CD22mFc, was immobilized on microtiter plates to capture the
G5/44 antibody portion within CMC-544. The CalichDMH portion of the
molecule was then recognized with a rabbit anticalicheamicin antibody. A
good antirabbit antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase was used to
detect the bound rabbit antibody using 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine
(TMBr) as a substrate for colorimetric readout. CMC-544 diluted in nude
mouse serum was used as a standard in these determinations. The
pharmacokinetic parameters for each dose group were estimated using the
pharmacokinetic and statistical analysis software, IBS (version 1.5.0) that
ran under SAS (version 6.12). Calculations were performed using a
model-independent approach as described by Gibaldi and Perrier.28 Briefly,
Cmax and tmax values were taken directly from the observed mean data. The
area under the serum concentration versus time curve (AUC) over the
sampling period of 336 hours and its SE were calculated using a method
described by French and Powers29 that is based on a rearrangement of the
linear trapezoidal rule.

Results

Binding of CMC-544 to CD22

The binding of CMC-544 to human CD22 was evaluated by surface
plasmon resonance analysis using CD22mFc covalently immobi-
lized on a biosensor chip. The results of kinetic analyses of the
binding of CMC-544 and G5/44 to CD22mFc are shown in Figure
2. The data were fitted globally to a 1:1 Langmuir binding model
with compensation for mass transfer. Both CMC-544 and unconju-
gated G5/44 bound CD22 with a similar affinity (CMC-544/CD22
Kd = 200 pM; G5/44/CD22 Kd = 235 pM). Conjugation to
CalichDMH did not have an impact on the ability of G5/44 to bind
CD22mFc effectively. The binding of CMC-544 and G5/44 to
CD22 expressed on the surface of human B-lymphoma cells was
further confirmed by flow cytometry. CMC-544 specifically recog-
nizes CD22 on human B cells but not on murine, rat, canine,
porcine, or primate (cynomolgus and rhesus) B cells (data
not shown).

In vitro effects of CMC-544 against human B-lymphoma cells

The effect of CMC-544 on the in vitro growth of various CD22+
B-lymphoma cell lines was determined. C3D3-targeted CMA-676
was used as an isotype-matched nonbinding control conjugate to
explore antigen-nonspecific effects of the conjugates. CalichDMH
was also included for comparison in these studies. The use of
unconjugated CalichDMH indicated sensitivity of each cell line to
this drug. Table 1 shows results of the cytotoxic effect (IC50 based
on the CalichDMH equivalents defined as the concentration of
unconjugated CalichDMH that causes 50% reduction in the cell
number compared to untreated controls) of CMC-544 and
CMA-676. CMC-544 was more potent (1.5- to 39-fold) against
CD22+ B-lymphoma cells (Ramos, Raji, and RL) than unconju-
gated CalichDMH. The cytotoxic effect of the isotype-matched
control conjugate (CMA-676) against CD22+ B-lymphoma cells
was less than that of unconjugated CalichDMH. Unconjugated
G5/44 mAb up to 1 μM (antibody protein) had no effect on the in
vitro growth of any of the B-lymphoma cell lines studied. Against
the CD22+ CD33+ leukemic cell line HL-60, the relative potentials

Figure 2. Sensograms from biosensor analysis of the
interaction between G5/44 or CMC-544 and immobi-
lized CD22mFc. G5/44 (left) or CMC-544 (right) at
indicated protein concentrations was injected over
CD22mFc immobilized onto the biosensor chip surface.
Curves were fitted to a 1:1 Langmuir binding model with
allowance for mass transfer effects.
of CD22-targeted CMC-544 and CD33-targeted CMA-676 were reversed. CMA-676 was at least 12-fold more potent than CMC-544 against HL-60 cells. The antigen-nonspecific effects of CMC-544 and CMA-676 can be explained by the hydrolytic release of CalichDMH from its conjugated state by the acidification of the culture medium of actively cycling cells. These results demonstrate that CMC-544 exerts CD22-specific cytotoxic effect with subnanomolar potency against CD22+ B-lymphoma cells. Furthermore, the observed greater cytotoxic potency of CMC-544 over unconjugated CalichDMH is indicative of the efficiency of CD22-mediated intracellular delivery of CalichDMH over its untargeted transport through the cell membrane.

**Antitumor efficacy of CMC-544 against established B-lymphoma xenografts**

CMC-544 was evaluated for its antitumor activity against established SC xenografts of CD22+ Ramos or RL human BCLs. The tumor-bearing mice were staged to an average tumor mass of 300 mg (established, small subcutaneous tumors). CMC-544 was administered at 10 to 320 μg/kg body weight (0.15-5 mg/kg of conjugated antibody protein) intraperitoneally on days 1, 5, and 9 (3 doses, 1 every 4 days). CMA-676 was similarly administered as a negative control conjugate. This dosing schedule has been shown to be effective for immunoconjugates of CalichDMH in a number of preclinical tumor models. CMC-544 inhibited the subcutaneous growth of Ramos BCL in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3). The lowest dose of CMC-544 causing significant (P < .05) growth inhibition (MED) of Ramos BCL xenografts was 10 μg/kg conjugated CalichDMH/kg. CMC-544, administered intraperitoneally as 3 doses, 1 every 4 days at 160 μg/kg conjugated CalichDMH/kg, caused complete regression of Ramos BCL xenografts and 6 of 7 mice in this treatment group remained tumor-free up to 100 days and were considered cured. In a separate experiment (data not shown), CMC-544 at the dose of 120 μg/kg conjugated CalichDMH/kg (MCD) also cured Ramos xenografted mice. In contrast, CMA-676 (isotype-matched nonbinding control conjugate), at 160 μg/kg (administered intraperitoneally as 3 doses, 1 every 4 days) had no significant effect on the growth of Ramos BCL xenografts.

The ability of CMC-544 to inhibit the establishment of developing Ramos BCL xenografts was examined. Ramos cells were injected subcutaneously and 3 days later intraperitoneal treatment (3 doses, 1 every 4 days) with CMC-544 was initiated. CMC-544 completely suppressed the establishment of the developing Ramos BCL (Figure 4A). The effect of CMC-544 was also studied with large (almost 10% of the body weight) Ramos BCL xenografts. Ramos xenografts were staged at 2 g tumor mass after which treatment with CMC-544 was initiated. CMA-676 was again used as a negative control conjugate. In the CMA-676 treatment group, the tumors grew rapidly and within less than 2 weeks after initiation of therapy, these mice had to be humanely killed. In contrast, similar treatment with CMC-544 caused almost complete regression of lymphoma xenografts (Figure 4B). Monitoring these tumor-free mice for up to 50 days did not demonstrate any regrowth of the Ramos BCL. Thus, CMC-544 not only inhibited the establishment of BCL xenografts but also caused precipitous regression of large BCL xenografts.

A similar evaluation of the antitumor effect of CMC-544 was carried out using the RL BCL xenograft model. CMC-544, in a dose-dependent manner, caused RL xenografts to regress within 3 weeks. In contrast, neither unconjugated CalichDMH nor a mixture of unconjugated CalichDMH and unconjugated G5/44 had any effect on the growth of RL BCL xenografts. The MED of CMC-544 in the RL lymphoma model was 20 μg conjugated CalichDMH/kg (Figure 5). Regression analysis of the dose response of CMC-544 from this and additional studies not shown in Figure 5 indicated the ED50 to be 53 μg conjugated CalichDMH/kg (95% CI, 19.6-137.6). The MCD of CMC-544 in the RL BCL model was 160 μg/kg. CMC-544, administered as 3 doses, 1 every 4 days via either the intraperitoneal or intravenous route, had almost identical antitumor activity regardless of the dose of the conjugate (data not shown). Taken together, these results obtained with 2 distinct BCL xenograft models clearly demonstrate the ability of CMC-544 to cause regression of developing as well as established BCL tumors.

**Table 1. Cytotoxic activity of calicheamicin immunoconjugates against human B-lymphoma cell lines in vitro**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human tumor cell line</th>
<th>IC50 (pM CalichDMH equivalents)</th>
<th>CMC-544</th>
<th>CMA-676</th>
<th>Conjugated CalichDMH</th>
<th>Unconjugated CalichDMH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD22+ Ramos</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD22+ Daudi</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1886</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD22+ Raji</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>460</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD23+ Ramos</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD33+ HL-60</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Human B-lymphoma cells were cultured for 96 hours in the presence of various concentrations of CMC-544, CMA-676, or unconjugated CalichDMH after which the viable cell number in each culture was enumerated by their exclusion of propidium iodide and detected by flow cytometry. Unconjugated anti-CD22 mAb G5/44 up to 1 μg/ml had no effect on the growth of these B-cell lines. Results are IC50 values expressed in pM CalichDMH equivalents.*
The effect of unconjugated anti-CD22 mAb G5/44 on the growth of developing or established RL BCL was also investigated. Administration of G5/44 at a dose as high as 20 mg/kg intraperitoneally did not influence the growth of developing (Figure 6A) or established (Figure 6B) RL BCL xenografts. The dose of 20 mg/kg of G5/44 was selected based on the ability of anti-CD20 rituximab to inhibit the establishment of RL BCL at this dose (data not shown). In contrast, CMC-544, administered intraperitoneally at a suboptimal dose of 80 μg/kg conjugated CalichDMH/kg as 3 doses, 1 every 4 days, suppressed the growth of small RL xenografts. Large RL BCL xenografts, which had grown despite the high-dose treatment with unconjugated G5/44, were further treated with CMC-544 and regressed in response to the CMC-544 treatment (Figure 6B). Thus in the absence of CalichDMH, the unconjugated anti-CD22 mAb G5/44 had no impact on the growth of BCL xenografts and these G5/44-treated BCLs were still susceptible to CMC-544.

Pharmacokinetics of CMC-544 in nude mice

To determine the serum levels of CMC-544 that were associated with the antitumor effects, tumor-bearing (subcutaneous RL B lymphoma) or nontumor-bearing nude mice received a single intraperitoneal dose of CMC-544 at 160 μg/kg conjugated CalichDMH/kg and serum samples from these mice were assayed for the presence of CMC-544 using an enzyme-linked immunoassay. The immunoassay was specifically designed and validated to detect antibody conjugates of CalichDMH.27 As shown in Figure 7, the mean (±SE) Cmax values for nontumor-bearing and RL BCL-bearing nude mice, respectively, were 2.6 ± 0.1 and 2.4 ± 0.2 μg/mL (CalichDMH equivalents of CMC-544), the t1/2 were 34.2 and 35 hours, and the corresponding mean AUC0-inf values were 145 and 93 μg/h/mL in nontumor-bearing and RL BCL-bearing mice, respectively. The difference between the AUC0-inf values for nontumor-bearing mice and RL BCL-bearing mice was statistically significant (P < .05). The single dose of CMC-544 administered in this study prevented the growth of the existing RL BCL mass for 9 days after which tumor measurements were not taken. The lower systemic exposure (AUC0-inf) of CMC-544 in tumor-bearing mice
than that in nontumor-bearing mice is consistent with the uptake of CMC-544 by the tumor.

**Discussion**

Antibody-targeted chemotherapy is an emerging therapeutic strategy that preferentially delivers the cytotoxic agent to tumor cells and minimizes the exposure of normal tissues resulting in an improved therapeutic index. CMC-544 represents a new therapeutic opportunity for CD22-targeted delivery of calicheamicin to various B-cell malignancies. CD22 was chosen as a target for conjugate delivery for several reasons. CD22 is preferentially expressed on both normal and malignant cells of the mature B-lymphocyte lineage. It is not expressed on non–B-lymphoid cells, myeloid cells, hematopoietic stem cells, hematopoietic precursors of B lymphocytes, or any other nonhematopoietic lineage. CD22-targeted chemotherapy is not expected to affect specifically any tissue not expressing CD22 and it should not inhibit the generation of new B cells from their hematopoietic progenitors. Moreover, CD22 is one of the better internalizing molecules expressed on the surface of mature and malignant B cells and is not routinely shed into the extracellular environment. The preclinical antitumor activity of a number of CD22-targeted immunotoxins prepared with various xenotoxins has been reviewed. Of interest in this context is a recent clinical study by Kreitman et al in which a recombinant immunotoxin (BL22 targeted to CD22) was shown to cause complete remission in 68% of patients with chemorefractory hairy cell leukemia, thus further validating the choice of CD22 as a targeting antigen.

CMC-544 bound CD22 with subnanomolar affinity and exhibited a potent, dose-dependent cytotoxicity against CD22+ B-lymphoma cells. The CD22-mediated intracellular delivery of CalichDMH was more effective in exerting cytotoxic activity than the untreated uptake of CalichDMH. This enhanced potency can be attributed to the efficient internalization and subsequent intracellular delivery of the CD22-bound CMC-544. In contrast, unconjugated G5/44 antibody had no effect on the growth of various BCLs, both in vitro and in vivo. CMC-544 caused a dose-dependent regression of BCL xenografts grown as subcutaneous solid tumors in athymic nude mice. It prevented the development of BCL growth and was also effective in causing regression of preexisting small and large established BCLs. The growth of established BCLs was inhibited by CMC-544 at a dose less than one tenth the MND (240 μg/kg) and cured tumor-bearing mice of the tumor at half the MND. The antitumor effect of CMC-544 requires that both the targeting antibody and CalichDMH are chemically linked to each other. Neither unconjugated G5/44, unconjugated CalichDMH, nor their admixture had any effect on the BCL growth in vivo. Not surprisingly, in the absence of the targeting moiety, unconjugated CalichDMH lacked antitumor activity at the dose evaluated, further illustrating the advantage of tumor-targeted therapy. Consequently, we conclude that the effectiveness of CMC-544 observed in vivo in this study was a direct result of the specific and targeted delivery of CalichDMH to tumor cells.

Unconjugated G5/44, an IgG4 isotype anti-CD22 antibody, did not inhibit the establishment of BCL xenografts nor their subsequent growth. This lack of effect of G5/44 in vivo is consistent with its inability to inhibit the growth of BCL in vitro. Human IgG4 antibodies fix complement poorly, are poor binders to Fc receptors, and, thus, cannot support complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). The lack of antitumor effect of G5/44 in the BCL xenograft models was not due to the inability of murine FcR to mediate ADCC or murine antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC).

**Table 2. Maximum tolerated nonlethal dose of CMC-544 in nude mice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose of CMC-544, μg conjugated CalichDMH/kg</th>
<th>Survival of nude mice, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMC-544 was administered intraperitoneally as 3 doses, 1 every 4 days at various doses to nontumor-bearing nude mice (10 mice/group) after which their survival was monitored for up to 50 days. Maximum nonlethal dose (MND) of CMC-544 was the highest dose at which all mice treated with CMC-544 survived after 50 days.
complement to mediate CDC with human(ized) IgG antibodies nor was the lack of activity by GS/44 due to the resistance of the B-lymphoma cells toward ADCC or CDC because rituximab, an anti-CD20 chimeric mAb, at a dose of 20 mg/kg inhibited the establishment of RL BCL xenografts in nude mice (data not shown). Rituximab is a chimeric mAb with human IgG1 constant region that can mediate both ADCC and CDC and the antitumor activity of rituximab is consistent with these capabilities.\textsuperscript{33,34} Another CD22-targeted humanized IgG1 antibody, epratuzumab, has also been shown to mediate both ADCC and CDC.\textsuperscript{35} The clinical activity of both rituximab and epratuzumab is consistent with their ability to mediate both these activities.\textsuperscript{33-35} Because the targeting antibody in CMC-544 lacks these effector capabilities, CMC-544 should not be regarded as an immunotherapeutic agent but rather a CD22-targeted chemotherapeutic agent.

When serum CMC-544 levels were analyzed, the $C_{\text{max}}$ of CMC-544 was approximately 2.5 $\mu$g CalichDMH/mL serum (1.67 $\mu$M) in both tumor- and nontumor-bearing mice. The $C_{\text{mean}}$ values calculated in vitro for CMC-544’s cytotoxic activity against a series of B-lymphoma cells ranged from 0.009 to 0.9 ng CalichDMH equivalents/mL (6-600 pM). Therefore, the maximum serum level of CMC-544 (after a single intraperitoneal dose) was substantially higher than that needed to produce cytotoxic effects in vitro. Given the systemic $t_{1/2}$ of 35 hours for CMC-544, the dosing regimen of 3 doses, 1 every 4 days ensures that a constant and efficacious systemic exposure of CMC-544 is maintained over a 2-week period resulting in tumor regression. The AUC$_{\text{0-28}}$ values of CMC-544 in tumor-bearing mice were 37% lower ($P < .05$) than in nontumor-bearing mice suggesting that the conjugate can be targeted to and absorbed by the tumor, thereby decreasing serum levels of CMC-544 in tumor-bearing mice. The $t_{1/2}$ of CMC-544 in tumor-bearing and nontumor-bearing nude mice was similar.

A number of B cell–targeted immunotoxins have been evaluated as a therapy for BCL, both preclinically and clinically\textsuperscript{14,16,32,36} and, although objective clinical responses were observed, dose-limiting toxicities of these agents such as vascular leak syndrome have precluded their broader clinical use.\textsuperscript{14,16} An additional limiting feature of the immunotoxins is their immunogenicity in man. Patients treated with these agents developed antibodies that negatively affected the pharmacokinetics of these agents and may have neutralized their antitumor activity.\textsuperscript{14,15} Clinical experience with gemtuzumab ozogamicin has demonstrated that it is relatively nonimmunogenic in humans. No antibody response was detected to either the targeting antibody nor the CalichDMH-linker component of gemtuzumab ozogamicin in phase 2 clinical trials involving more than 200 patients.\textsuperscript{3} Based on the clinical experience with gemtuzumab ozogamicin, CMC-544 is likely to be poorly immunogenic in man.

In summary, CMC-544, as a potent antibody-targeted chemotherapeutic agent, shows promising activity in preclinical models of BCL and may provide a strong antitumor therapeutic advantage in humans. CMC-544 should be regarded as a targeted chemotherapeutic agent and not an immunotherapeutic agent, and its safety profile should be compared with that of chemotherapeutic agents currently used in the treatment of B-cell malignancies. Although some toxicity may be observed with CMC-544 due to the potency of CalichDMH, its targeted delivery is expected to result in less severe toxicity than the nontargeted systemic delivery of currently used cytotoxic combination chemotherapy. How extensively this agent can be used in a clinical setting will be guided largely by its clinical safety and efficacy profile. CMC-544 is currently being evaluated as a targeted chemotherapeutic for patients with non-Hodgkin B-cell lymphoma in a multicenter phase 1 clinical trial.
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